AICC PRESS STATEMENT ISSUED BY SHRI RANDEEP SINGH SURJEWALA, I/c, COMMUNICATION DEPTT

- (I) The concocted conspiracy of PM, Shri Narendra Modi, Shri Arun Jaitley and the entire BJP stands exposed today with the 2G verdict by special CBI Court. BJP maligned the country and the Congress party for years together making false allegations of corruption as their principle strategy to gain power.
- BJP, particularly Shri Narendra Modi, Shri Arun Jaitley and their stooge, Shri Vinod Rai created a 'fallacious web of allegations of corruption'. The truth is, however, out in the open that BJP made a profession out of its conspiracy of lies and falsehoods to gain power at any cost.

Truth is for the entire country to judge and see as the Special CBI Court has rejected every allegation of 'Corruption', 'Wrongdoing', 'Criminality', 'Breach of Trust' and 'Extension of Illegal Benefits for Gratification'.

Shri Narendra Modi, Shri Arun Jaitley and the BJP leadership should come forward to own up their sinister maligning machinations and apologize to the nation.

(II) While different figures were presented by the CAG (1.76 lakh crores), CBI (30,984 crores) and the former Director General of CAG-RP Singh-(2,645 crores), the CBI utterly failed to prove a single charge of 'Corruption', 'Criminal Conspiracy', 'Wrongdoing' or 'Criminal Breach of Trust'. The reason was simple- all this was founded upon figment of BJP's imagination to come to power at any cost and to use these falsehoods as stepping stones for becoming Prime Minister and Finance Minister.

A Few Facts about Licenses, Supreme Court Judgement and the CBI version

 National Telecom Policy, 1999 was framed by the BJP Government. As per para 26 of the policy, it was decided that spectrum would be allocated on a 'First Come, First Serve' basis.

Based on this 1999 policy of 'First Come, First Serve', BJP Government gave 25 licenses to different telecom companies in the year 2001.

It is thus, clear that the policy of 'First Come, First Serve' was brought in by the BJP.

 In 2007, the then Prime Minister, Dr Manmohan Singh had recommended an auction of spectrum. This is a fact that has been noted even by the CBI. It may be noted that the Telecom licensing and regulation is governed by 'Telecom Regulatory Authority of India' (TRAI). On 28.8.2007, TRAI had recommended that in future, all spectrums, excluding the 2G spectrum (800, 900, 1800 Mhz bands) be auctioned. This recommendation was made by Shri Nipendra Mishra (presently Principal Secretary to PM Modi). TRAI had justified non auction of spectrum in 2G bands by recording that allocation through auction is not possible as -

- (i) Service Providers were allocated spectrum at different times of their license; and
- (ii) Amount of spectrum with them varies in GSM and CDMA technology.

And because of the same, no level playing field is possible.

3. Entire issue was taken up by the Supreme Court in 'Centre for public interest litigation and others Vs Union of India'. Supreme Court quashed the principle of 'First Come, First Serve' as framed by the 1999 Telecom Policy. Supreme Court held that as decided by the Government in 2007, auction should be the only way.

Supreme Court appointed a Special CBI Court to look at the entire 2G allocation. Supreme Court also observed that Court will decide the matter uninfluenced by the observations in its judgment of 2012. Supreme Court also appointed Shri U U Lalit, Sr. advocate to the Special Public Prosecutor. On his elevation of a SC judge, Supreme Court appointed Shri Anand Grover, Sr. advocate as the Special Public Prosecutor.

The entire 2G trial has been directly monitored by the Supreme Court with reports of its progress submitted to it on a monthly basis.

Thus, 2G investigation was referred by Supreme Court to CBI, Trial was given to Special CBI Judge by the Supreme Court. Public Prosecutor was appointed by the Supreme Court. Trial was directly monitored by the Supreme Court. Trial Court was directed by the Supreme Court to decide the matter bereft of the preliminary observations, in absence of a full trial, of the Supreme Court.

Special CBI Court has looked at every document threadbare, examined the charge sheet running into 86,000 pages, examined over 150 witnesses, conducted the trial over a period of seven years and delivered three judgments running into 2,183 pages. A summary of proceedings of the judgments has been attached in a separate note.

India Demands Answers from BJP

- 1. Did Shri Narendra Modi, Shri Arun Jaitley and the BJP not conspire with Shri Vinod Rai (currently the chairman of Bank's Board Bureau with Cabinet Minister Status) to malign and defame the country as also the Congress led UPA with their falsehoods and lies?
- 2. Should Shri Narendra Modi, Shri Arun Jaitley and others in BJP leadership not be held accountable for selling lies and false allegations qua a 'scam and corruption' where none existed as proved by the CBI court? Should there not render an unconditional apology for using the falsehood of a self-manufactured 'scam' as a pedestal for gaining power by misleading the entire country?
- 3. BJP and Shri Arun Jaitley maligned the country, its institutions and BJP blocked Parliament for 500 hours over three years. Considering that each minute of Parliament costs the country Rs.2,50,000- should the BJP be not held accountable for wasting Rs.750 crore of exchequers money, besides paralyzing institutions?